[Sri C. Subramaniam] [3rd December 1952

the Member in giving notice of an adjournment motion is to get an opportunity to make a speech. I respectfully submit, therefore, that it is not necessary to bring all the adjournment motions to the notice of the House."

MR. SPEAKER :—" That is the procedure I used to follow hitherto. But at the request of the Hon. Leader of the Opposition, I am bringing them to the notice of the House."

* SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" In reply to what the Hon. the Leader of the House has said, I must say that I cannot agree with him. It is not for making a speech here, that we moved an adjournment motion, because we have lots of opportunities to make speeches. Therefore it is not because of a fatal temptation to make a speech that we give notice of adjournment motions. But as you are aware, the rules at present are not very clear. We have not been able to understand exactly what are out of order and what are in order. That is the reason why I have requested you, Sir, to read out these adjournment motions and tell us where we go wrong so that we may not repeat such mistakes. I think we can discuss these also in the Rules Committee and I am glad you have also suggested a half-hour debate every day. If that is done, it will minimize our difficulties. The smallness of the number of adjournment motions in England is due to the fact that there they have got greater opportunities to raise these matters in the daily half-hour debate. However, I would request you to take all these considerations into your mind and read out the adjournment motions and let us know where our motions are out of order."

MR. SPEAKER :—"Apart from that, in the half-hour debates there is no division at all; the matter is talked out."

III.—ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member Sri K. Vinayakam has given notice of the following adjournment motion :—

(1) *Allegations of corruption against an Indian Civil Service Officer.*

'This House do stand adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance regarding the grave allegations made by the hon'ble Mr. Sarangadhar Das, M.P., in the House of the People about corruption charges against an Indian Civil Service Officer belonging to the Madras State and to institute a Committee to enquire into those alleged corruption charges.'

This is in regard to the allegations made by a Member in the House of the People against an I.C.S. Officer that he is corrupt and I do not know how it can be brought as an adjournment motion in this House."

11-40 a.m. SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" I think, Sir, the Hon. Minister for Commerce also stated in Parliament that the charges were pending investigation. So, it is not as if it was a mere allegation that was made."

MR. SPEAKER :—" But it is not urgent."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" That is another point, Sir."

3rd December 1952]

* SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is not as if I am trying to take an opportunity to speak in this House now, for, no day passes without a speech from me. I am now trying to make a *prima facie* case for allowing my adjournment motion. Sir, my adjournment motion reads thus :

'This House do stand adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance regarding the grave allegations made by the hon. Mr. Sarangadhar Das, M.P., in the House of the People about corruption charges against an Indian Civil Service Officer belonging to the Madras State and to institute a Committee to enquire into those alleged corruption charges.'

Mr. Speaker, Sir, you have been quoting from the . . ."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member must be brief ; I will give him two minutes."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Ten minutes, Sir? "

MR. SPEAKER :—" No, only two minutes."

* SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Sir, I have in my possession records to prove that the Member has alleged in the Central Parliament that the I.C.S. Officer against whom allegations of corruption have been made was shunted out to Madras and arrangements were being made to shield him."

(Sri C. Rajam Ramaswami rose to speak.)

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" I am not yielding, Sir. These allegations were made openly in the House, without anybody challenging him. If it is reasonably proved that the charges are true, it will affect the self-respect of this State administration, it will affect our self-respect; if the Hon. the Chief Minister has any respect for the Madras administration and for this House, I want him to take up the challenge from this side."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I rule this out of order. It is not an urgent matter. The allegation is pending before the Government."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" I have not spoken even for the two minutes you gave me, Sir."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I have given my ruling. I have ruled it out of order."

(2) *Havoc caused by the recent cyclone.*

MR. SPEAKER :—" Here is another adjournment motion given notice of by Mr. Palaniandi. It reads as follows :—

'This House do stand adjourned to discuss a definite urgent matter of public importance, namely, the vast extent of havoc caused by the cyclone in Tanjore and Tiruchi and other neighbouring places on the 30th November and 1st December 1952, and the necessity on the part of Government to take immediate ameliorative measures to relieve the distress of the people.' "

* SRI M. PALANIANDI :—" கனம் சபாநாயகர் அவர்களே, 30-ம் தேதி அடித்த புயலின் காரணமாக ஏற்பட்டிருக்கக்கூடிய சேதங்களையெல்லாம் நாம் பார்க்க வேண்டும். நமது முதன் மந்திரி ராஜாஜி அவர்கள் ஒரு அறிக்கை விடுத்திருக்கிறார்கள். அதாவது இந்தப்